1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 EDWARD GARCIA, Case No.: 1:15-cv-1951-JLT ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S 12 Plaintiff, REQUEST AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S OPENING 13 v. **BRIEF** 14 CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, 15 Defendant. 16 On October 21, 2016, Defendant filed a stipulation of the parties to extend time for the 17 Commissioner to respond to Plaintiff's opening brief. (Doc. 16) Notably, the Scheduling Order allows 18 for a single extension of thirty days by the stipulation of the parties (Doc. 5 at 4), which was previously 19 used by Plaintiff. (Docs. 11, 12) Beyond the single extension, "requests to modify [the scheduling] 20 21 order must be made by written motion and will be granted only for good cause." (Doc. 5 at 4) Thus, the Court construes the stipulation to be a motion to amend the Court's scheduling order. 22 Defendant's counsel, Jennifer Kenny, seeks an extension of four days to respond to Plaintiff's 23 24 opening brief. (Doc. 16) She asserts the extension is necessary due to her "exceptionally heavy workload and because ...[she] will be out of the office on approved leave, including the day the 25 Opposition is currently due." (Id. at 1) Plaintiff does not oppose the brief extension requested by 26 Defendant. (Id. at 1-2) 27 /// 28

Good cause appearing, the Court **ORDERS**: Defendant's request for an extension of time is **GRANTED**; and 2. Defendant SHALL file a responsive brief on or before November 4, 2016. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: October 26, 2016