1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	EDHE	ER FLORES, et al.,	)	Case No.: 1:16-cv-00029 AWI JLT
11		Plaintiffs,	)	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
12		v.	)	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 7/15/2016
13	ADT LLC,		)	Discovery Deadlines:
14		Defendant.	)	Initial Disclosures: 3/23/2016
15				Jurisdiction/Class Non-Expert: 12/4/2016 Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
16				11/10/2016 at 9:00 a.m.
17				Class Certification Motion Deadlines: Filing: 1/13/2017
18				Opposition: 5/12/2017
19				Reply brief: 7/14/2017 Hearing: 8/14/2017 at 9 a.m.
20				
21	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>			
22		March 16, 2016		
23	II. Appearances of Counsel			
24	Arnab Banerjee appeared on behalf of Plaintiff			
25	Linda Claxton appeared on behalf of Defendant.			
26	III. <u>Information Concerning the Court's Schedule</u>			
27	Out of fairness, the Court believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division			
28	of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Court Judge caseload in the entire			

find their case trailing with little notice before the trial begins. The law requires that the Court give any criminal trial priority over civil trials or any other matter. The Court must proceed with a criminal trial even if a civil trial was filed earlier and set for trial first. Continuances of any civil trial under these circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause. All parties should be informed that any civil trial set to begin during the time a criminal trial is proceeding will trail the completion of the criminal trial.

The parties are reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. The same jury pool is used by both United States Magistrate Judges and District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. However, the parties are hereby informed that no substantive rulings or decisions will be affected by whether a party chooses to consent.

nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely

expeditiously as desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may

manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as

Finally, the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern District of California.

Plaintiff has indicated his willingness to consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge. Therefore, the defendants are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. Within 10 days of the date of this order, the defendants SHALL file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

### IV. Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or

motion to amend, no later than July 15, 2016.

### V. <u>Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date</u>

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **March 23, 2016**.

Discovery is now open for discovery related to jurisdictional issues under CAFA and all non-expert discovery pertaining to the class certification motion. Plaintiffs will complete all discovery need to file the motion for class certification no later than **December 4, 2016**. The defendant may also conduct discovery related to the contents of the class certification motion after it is filed and the plaintiffs may conduct discovery in response to the content of the opposition after it is filed.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **November 10, 2016**, at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report one week prior to the conference, and shall also lodge it via e-mailed, in Word format, to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the status of the matter, including all discovery that has been completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via the CourtCall service.

### VI. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, will be heard at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse located at 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, California. No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion *must* comply with Local Rule 251. Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be heard by the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

## VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party shall initiate the meeting and SHALL provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts SHALL include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

# VIII. Motion for class certification

The motion for class certification **SHALL** be filed no later than **January 13, 2017**. Opposition to the motion **SHALL** be filed no later than **May 12, 2017**. Neither the motion nor the opposition **SHALL** exceed 30 pages, exclusive of evidence and evidentiary objections, unless leave is granted by the Court prior to the filing. Any reply **SHALL** be filed no later than **July 14, 2017** and shall not exceed 15 pages,

related to the class motion **SHALL** be sent via overnight mail to the Chambers of Judge Thurston at the United States Courthouse, 510 19th Street Suite 200, Bakersfield, CA 93301, at the same time the filing is submitted. All of the pages of evidence in the hard copy **SHALL** be numbered, tabbed and indexed.

The hearing on the motion for class certification is set on **August 14, 2017**, at 9:00 a.m. before

exclusive of evidentiary objections. Any objections to the evidence **SHALL** be filed at the same time as

the opposition (for Defendant) and the reply (for Plaintiff). A hard-copy, courtesy copy of all filings

The hearing on the motion for class certification is set on **August 14, 2017**, at 9:00 a.m. before Judge Thurston.

## IX. <u>Settlement Conference</u>

If the parties believe the matter is in a settlement posture, the parties may submit a joint written request for a settlement conference, at which time a conference will be set with the Court.

# X. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

### XI. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No motions to strike evidence will be entertained. If the Court sustains an objection to a piece of evidence, the evidence will not be considered.

for granting the relief requested. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: March 18, 2016