1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	XAVIER LUMAR J'WEIAL,	No. 1:16-cv-00044-SKO HC
8	Petitioner,	ORDER REGARDING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
9	v.	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
10	JOE LIZARRAGA, Warden,	(Doc. 29)
11	Respondent.	
12		I
13	On May 25, 2016, Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of	
14	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, submitted an ambiguous motion to which he had	
15	attached various proofs of service. The Court is not certain what information or relief Petitioner	
16	seeks. To the extent that Petitioner is unclear regarding the status of his petition, a review of the	
17	docket indicates that, but for Respondent's reply to Petitioner's traverse, which is to be filed on o	
18	before June 15, 2016, briefing in the above-captioned case is complete. Upon Respondent's filing	
19	of his reply, the Court will take the matter under submission.	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	II IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: <u>May 27, 2016</u>	Isl Sheila K. Oberto
23		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		