1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	SHANNON RILEY,	1:16 -cv-0050-AWI-MJS (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
11	V.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	BITER,	(Doc. 19)
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
16	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v	
17	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th	
18	Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of	
19	counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c),	
20	Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that	
21	the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of	
23	counsel is DENIED.	
24	IT IC CO OPPEDED	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>March 30, 2016</u>	<u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u> united states magistrate judge
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		