1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
<u>6</u>		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	CRAIG SIMONSON,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00126-LJO-MJS (PC)
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14	V.	
15	T. SINGH, et al.,	(ECF No. 17)
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is a county jail inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff initiated the action or	
19		
20	January 27, 2016. (ECF No. 1.)	
21 22	On July 26, 2016, the Court screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint and	
23	found that it stated a cognizable excessive force claim against Defendant Singh. (ECF	
24	15.) The Court dismissed Plaintiff's remaining claims but gave Plaintiff thirty days to file	
25	an amended complaint or notice of his willingness to proceed on the cognizable claim	
26	Id. As of September 15, 2016, Plaintiff still had not complied with that Order. The Cour	
27	then ordered Plaintiff to show cause days why his case should not be dismissed fo	
-·		
28	failure to obey the Court's order. (ECF No	o. 17.)

On September 26, 2016, Plaintiff filed notice of willingness to proceed on his cognizable claim. (ECF No. 18.) Accordingly, Plaintiff having complied, albeit late, with the order to show cause, the Court will discharge it.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the order to show cause (ECF No. 17) is DISCHARGED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 27, 2016 /s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE