

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RAY GONZALES and SHEILA
DEOCAMPO, in each case individually
and as a successor-in-interest to Joshua
Gonzales, deceased,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF LINDSAY; ANDREW
LOFTIN; ELISEO MENDEZ; JUAN
ALCANTAR, and DOES 4-10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 16-CV-00130 DAD (EPGx)

[Judge Dale A. Drozd]
[Magistrate Erica P. Grosjean]

**ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK
OF THE COURT TO CLOSE CASE**

1 On June 28, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss this action with
2 prejudice. (ECF No. 41.) Both parties have agreed to the dismissal. In light of the
3 stipulation, the case has ended, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of*
4 *San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and is dismissed with prejudice.
5 *Concha v. London*, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Even if the defendant has
6 filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing
7 a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).”). Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is
8 DIRECTED to close this case.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 29, 2017

/s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28