1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10		Case No. 1:16-cv-00145-DAD-SKO (PC)
11	CHRISTY V. MOORE,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO EITHER FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT OR
12	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT OF WILLINGNESS TO PROCEED ONLY ON EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAIM
13		AGAINST OFFICER ARDON
14	BEARD, et al.,	(Doc. 1, 11, 12)
15	Defendants.	DEADLINE: June 1, 2017
16		
17	Plaintiff, Christy V. Moore, is a prisoner in the custody of the California Department of	
18	Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
19	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 27, 2017, an order issued for	
20	Plaintiff to either file an amended complaint, or a statement indicating her willingness to proceed	
21	only on her claim under the Eighth Amendment against Officer Ardon. (Doc. 11.) Plaintiff was	
22	to file her response within twenty-one days. (Id.)	
23	However, on March 15, 2017, Plaintiff filed a response indicating that prison personnel	
24	did not deliver the order to her until March 7, 2017. (Doc. 12.) Though Plaintiff felt she could	
25	correct her claims against the City of Shafter and the CDCR, she felt she had no choice but to	
26	only proceed on her Eighth Amendment claim against Officer Ardon since the deadline for her to	
27	respond to the January 27, 2017 order had lapsed. (Id.) Rather than accept this as Plaintiff's	
28		
		1

1	agreement to dismissal of her claims against the City of Shafter and CDCR, Plaintiff's notice is		
2	construed as a request for an extension of time to file an amended complaint.		
3	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
4	1.	The Clerk's Office shall send Plaintiff another copy of the screening order that	
5		issued on January 27, 2017 (Doc. 11) and a civil rights complaint form;	
6	2.	On or before June 1, 2017, Plaintiff must either:	
7		a. file a first amended complaint curing the deficiencies identified in the	
8		January 27, 2017 order, or	
9		b. a statement that she does not wish to file a first amended complaint and	
10		wishes to proceed only on her claim under the Eighth Amendment against	
11		Officer Ardon; and	
12	3.	If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, it will be recommended that this action	
13		proceed only on her claim under the Eighth Amendment against Officer Ardon as	
14		found cognizable in the January 27, 2017 order and that all other claims and	
15		Defendants be dismissed with prejudice.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17			
18	Dated: Apr		
19		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1	2	