1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGE JACKSON,	No. 1:16-cv-00148-DAD-MJS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING
14	R. DAVIS, et al.,	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
15	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 2, 8, 10)
16		
17	Plaintiff George Jackson, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed	
18	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on February 2, 2016. The matter was referred	
19	to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On February 2, May 9, and June 9, 2016, plaintiff filed motions for preliminary relief, the	
21	first in the form of a motion for a temporary restraining order and the latter two as motions for	
22	preliminary injunction. (Doc. Nos. 2, 8, 10.) On July 7, 2016, the assigned magistrate judge	
23	issued findings and recommendations recommending that the motions be denied without	
24	prejudice. (Doc. No. 12.) Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and	
25	contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within thirty days. On August 15,	
26	2016, plaintiff filed objections. (Doc. No. 12.)	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including plaintiff's objections, the court finds that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Given the foregoing: 1. The findings and recommendations, filed July 7, 2016 (Doc. No. 12), are adopted in full; 2. Plaintiff's motions seeking preliminary relief (Doc. Nos. 2, 8, 10) are denied without prejudice; and 3. The matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 9, 2016