1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGE JACKSON,	No. 1:16-cv-00148-DAD-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	R. DAVIS, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A
15	Defendants.	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
16		(Doc. Nos. 20, 21)
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
19	action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
20	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On January 23, 2017, plaintiff filed a motion for temporary restraining order preventing	
22	his transfer to another institution. (Doc. No. 20.) On February 3, 2017, the assigned magistrate	
23	judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff's motion be denied and	
24	provided that any objections to that recommendation be filed within fourteen days. (Doc. No.	
25	21.) Plaintiff has not filed any objections to those findings and recommendations.	
26	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the	
27	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
28	/////	
		1

1	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
2	analysis.	
3	Accordingly,	
4	1. The February 3, 2017 findings and recommendations are adopted (Doc. No. 21); and	
5	2. Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 20) is denied.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: May 15, 2017 Jale A. Dryd	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	