1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ERNEST J. ESPINOZA,	No. 1:16-cv-00193-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	DEFENDANT CITY OF BAKERSFIELD HAS BEEN DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL, a government entity; CITY OF	(Doc. No. 22)
15	BAKERSFIELD, a government entity; OFFICER MATT A. ASHE, an individual;	(Doc. 110. 22)
16	and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	On April 15, 2016, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing defendant City of	
20	Bakersfield without prejudice. (Doc. No. 22.) In light of the parties' stipulation, defendant City	
21	of Bakersfield has been terminated from the case, <i>see</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); <i>Wilson v</i> .	
22	<i>City of San Jose</i> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed without prejudice.	
23	This dismissal does not affect the status of the other defendants in the matter.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: April 18, 2016	Jale A. Dryd
26 27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JŪDGE
27		
28		1