I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ERNEST J. ESPINOZA,	No. 1:16-cv-00193-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO TERMINATE DEFENDANTS
14	CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL, a government entity; STATE OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
15	CALIFORNIA, a government entity; OFFICER MATT A. ASHE, an individual;	(Doc. No. 42)
16	and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18	On Ostshan 26, 2016, the nortice filed a joint stimulation dismissing defendants the State	
19 20	On October 26, 2016, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing defendants the State	
20 21	of California and California Highway Patrol from this action with prejudice. (Doc. No. 42.) In	
21 22	light of the parties' stipulation, defendants the State of California and California Highway Patrol have been terminated from the case, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San	
22	Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and have been dismissed with prejudice. Accordingly,	
24 25	the Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate defendants the State of California and California	
25 26	Highway Patrol from the case.	
26 27	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Dale A. Dragd
27	Dated: October 27, 2016	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		1