1 2 3 4 5					
6					
7 8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	EASTERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	ERNEST ESPINOZA,) Case No.: 1:16-CV-193- JLT			
12	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)			
13	v.) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 2/1/2017			
14	OFFICER MATT A. ASHE,)) Discovery Deadlines:			
15 16	Defendant.	 Initial Disclosures: 11/28/2016 Non-Expert: 11/7/2017 			
17		Expert: 11/7/2017 Mid-Discovery Status Conference:			
18		4/10/2017 at 8:30 a.m.			
19		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 11/24/2017			
20		Hearing: 12/22/2017			
21		Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 1/12/2018			
22		Hearing: 2/9/2018			
23		Pre-Trial Conference:			
24		3/27/2018 at 10:00 a.m. 510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA			
25 26		Trial: 5/8/2018 at 8:30 a.m.			
27		510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA Jury trial: 7-10 days			
28					
		1			

1	I.	Date of Scheduling Conference	
2		November 7, 2016.	
3	II.	Appearances of Counsel	
4		Gregory Peacock appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.	
5		Kenny Nguyen appeared on behalf of Defendant.	
6	III.	Pleading Amendment Deadline	
7		Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or	
8	motion to amend, no later than February 1, 2017 .		
9	IV.	Fictitiously-Named Defendants	
10		All claims as to "Doe" Defendants, including any counterclaims and cross-claims, are hereby	
11	DISMISSED.		
12	V.	Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date	
13		The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)	
14	on or before November 28, 2016.		
15		The parties are ordered to complete all discovery, pertaining to non-experts and experts, on or	
16	before	November 7, 2017.	
17		The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or August 25, 2017, and	
18	to disc	lose all rebuttal experts on or before September 22, 2017. The written designation of retained	
19	and no	on-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C)	
20	and sl	nall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance	
21	with th	nis order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such	
22	expert	s that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.	
23		The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R.</u>	
24	<u>Civ. P</u>	26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder . Failure to	
25	design	ate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other	
26	eviden	ce offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.	
27		The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts	
28	and the	eir opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions	

included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for April 10, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

14

1

2

VI. **Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than November 24, 2017, and heard on or before December 22, 2017. The Court hears nondispositive motions at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped 28 from the Court's calendar.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **January 12, 2018**, and heard no later than February 9, 2018, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a 9 10 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the 11 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the 12 expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts. 13

14 The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of 15 16 undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint 17 statement of undisputed facts. 18

19 In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred 20 as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to 21 comply may result in the motion being stricken.

VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

March 27, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, 26 directly to Judge Thurston's chambers, by email at JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

28

27

22

23

24

25

Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the

1	Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.		
2	The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the		
3	Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the		
4	Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.		
5	IX.	Trial Date	
6		May 8, 2018, at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California,	
7	before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.		
8		A. This is a jury trial.	
9		B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 7-10 days.	
10		C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of	
11	California, Rule 285.		
12	X.	Settlement Conference	
13		If the parties desire a conference with the Court, they may file a joint written request for a	
14	settlement conference. Alternatively, the parties may file a joint written request for referral to the		
15	Court'	s Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program.	
16	XI.	Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten	
17	<u>Trial</u>		
18		Not applicable at this time.	
19	XII.	Related Matters Pending	
20		There are no pending related matters.	
21	XIII.	Compliance with Federal Procedure	
22		All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
23	and the	e Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any	
24	amend	ments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its	
25	increas	sing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil	
26	Proced	lure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.	
27	XIV.	Effect of this Order	
28		The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most	
		5	

1	suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the		
2	parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered		
3	to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by		
4	subsequent status conference.		
5	The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a		
6	showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations		
7	extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by		
8	affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause		
9	for granting the relief requested.		
10	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: November 7, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27			
20			
	6		