1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 3 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 RAUL ALVAREZ, Case No. 1:16-cv-00203-AWI-SKO (PC) 6 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION DENYING PLAINTIFF'S 7 MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF v. 8 HASHIMI, M.D., (Docs. 10, 13) 9 Defendant. 10 11 Plaintiff, Raul Alvarez, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States 12 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. Plaintiff filed a 13 motion seeking injunctive relief on March 4, 2016. (Doc. 10.) On May 2, 2016, the Magistrate 14 Judge issued a Findings and Recommendations ("F&R") to deny Plaintiff's motion for lack of 15 jurisdiction. (Doc. 13.) The F&R was served that same date and allowed for filing of objections 16 within thirty days. (Id.) Despite lapse of more than double the time allowed, Plaintiff has not 17 filed any objections. 18 In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the Court has reviewed this case and finds the 19 F&R to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 21 1. The F&R issued on May 2, 2016 (Doc. 13) is adopted in full; and 22 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief, filed on March 4, 2016 (Doc. 10) is DENIED. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: <u>July 25, 2016</u> 26 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE 27

28