1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 RAUL ALVAREZ, Case No. 1:16-cv-00203-AWI-SKO (PC) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ON WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE A MORE 12 **DEFINITE STATEMENT: GRANTING** v. EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER 13 DR. NASTRAN HASHEMI. **NUNC PRO TUNC; and GRANTING** REQUEST TO SUBSTITUTE COPY OF 14 Defendant. **COMPLAINT** 15 (Docs. 16, 18) 16 17 Plaintiff, Raul Alvarez, is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 11, 2016, Defendant filed a motion for more 18 19 definite state from Plaintiff because in the process of scanning for filing on the docket, the last 20 few lines of various pages of the Complaint were not captured. (Doc. 16.) On August 3, 2016, 21 Defendant, acknowledged receipt of a full copy of the Complaint from Plaintiff, filed a notice of 22 withdrawal of the motion for more definite statement, requested an extension of time to respond to the Complaint, and submitted a complete copy of the Complaint with the request that it be 23 24 scanned and substituted in place of the Complaint (Doc. 1) on the docket in this action. (Doc. 18.) 25 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that: 26 1. Defendant's motion for more definite statement, filed on July 11, 2016, is deemed 27 28 WITHDRAWN;

1	2. Defendant's request for an extension of time to file a response ¹ to the Complaint is		
2		GRANTED nunc pro tunc; and	
3	3.	The Clerk of the Court is directed to	file the version of Plaintiff's Complaint found a
4		pages 4-15 of Doc. 18 which shall be	e substituted in place of Doc. 1.
5			
6	IT IS SO	ORDERED.	
7	Dated:	October 2, 2016	s Sheila K. Oberto
8			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
10	1		

¹ Defendant filed an answer on August 25, 2016. (Doc. 20.)