1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 7 8		ES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	MARTIN VOGEL,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00204-DAD-MJS	
10	Plaintiffs,	SECOND ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF	
11	ν.	TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO FILE DISPOSITIONAL DOCUMENTS	
12	PNS STORES, INC., et al., Defendants.	(ECF NO. 20)	
13		Show Cause Hearing: May 19, 2017 at 9:30	
14		a.m. in Courtroom 6 (MJS)	
15 16			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	conference and advised that they had entirety. (See ECF Nos. 19, 20.) The documents within sixty days. (Id.) The submitting said documents or seeking a February 28, 2017, the Court ordered th why sanctions should not be imposed. (In order to show cause. Dispositional docu Court imposed monetary sanctions on t	On November 17, 2016, the parties appeared before the Court for a scheduling aference and advised that they had reached agreement to settle the matter in its irrety. (See ECF Nos. 19, 20.) The parties were ordered to submit dispositional cuments within sixty days. (Id.) The sixty day deadline passed without the parties omitting said documents or seeking an extension of time to do so. Accordingly, on pruary 28, 2017, the Court ordered the parties to show cause, within fourteen days, y sanctions should not be imposed. (ECF No. 21.) The parties did not respond to the er to show cause. Dispositional documents were not filed. On March 15, 2017, the urt imposed monetary sanctions on the parties for failing to comply with the Court's ers. (ECF No. 22.) The sanctions were paid, but dispositional documents remain un d.	

This matter remains pending before this Court despite representations by counsel
for Defendant PNS Stores, Inc., that the parties have executed the release and
settlement agreement and PNS Stores, Inc. has complied with the terms of the
agreement. Thus, it appears that the failure to file dispositional documents rests with
Plaintiff's counsel.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's counsel shall appear in
person for a show cause hearing on May 19, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 6 (MJS) to
address why further sanctions, to include terminating sanctions and additional monetary
sanctions, should not be imposed for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's orders.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>April 20, 2017</u>

Ist Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MÄGISTRATE JUDGE