



1 federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. § 2254." *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512  
2 U.S. 477, 487-88 (1994). "A claim for damages bearing that relationship to a conviction or  
3 sentence that has not been so invalidated is not cognizable under § 1983." *Id.* at 488. This  
4 "favorable termination" requirement has been extended to actions under § 1983 that, if successful,  
5 would imply the invalidity of prison administrative decisions which result in a forfeiture of good-  
6 time credits. *Edwards v. Balisok*, 520 U.S. 641, 643-647 (1997).

7 The Complaint does not contain any allegations to show that Plaintiff's finding of guilt  
8 under the SRVR has been reversed, expunged, declared invalid, or called into question by a writ  
9 of habeas corpus.

10 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within **twenty-one (21) days** from the date  
11 of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why this action should not be  
12 dismissed as barred by *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994) and *Edwards v. Balisok*, 520  
13 U.S. 641, 643-647 (1997). **Failure to respond to this order will result in dismissal of this**  
14 **action, without prejudice.**

15  
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: January 27, 2017

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE