1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 NICHOLAS PATRICK, CASE NO. 1:16-cv-00239-LJO-MJS (PC) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING 12 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE ٧. **RELIEF** 13 REYNAGA, et al., 14 (ECF Nos. 15, 30) Defendants. 15 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil 19 rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. No other parties have appeared in 20 the action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 21 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United States District Court for the 22 Eastern District of California. 23 On January 17, 2017, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and a 24 recommendation to deny Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief. (ECF No. 30.) Plaintiff filed 25 no objections, and the time for doing so has passed.1 26 27 ¹ On February 6, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion for extension of time. (ECF No. 32.) Although docketed as a

request for an extension of time to file objections, the motion in fact requests only an extension of time to

file an amended complaint.

28

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendation to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on January 17, 2017 (ECF No. 30) are adopted in full; and 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (ECF No. 15) is DENIED. 3. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **February 28, 2017** /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE 4.