1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	STEPHANIE TENNISON,) 1: 16-CV-00247 - LJO - JLT			
11	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)			
12	v.) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 11/1/2016			
13	LAWRENCE FACTOR, INC., et al.,)) Discovery Deadlines:			
14	Defendants.) Initial Disclosures: 8/26/2016			
15) Non-Expert: 5/30/2017 ——) Expert: 8/15/2017			
16		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 3/17/2017 at 8:30 a.m.			
17					
18		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 9/1/2017			
19		Hearing: 9/29/2017			
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:			
21		Filing: 10/3/2017 Hearing: 11/14/2017			
22		Settlement Conference:			
23		8/4/2017, 9:30 a.m.			
24		510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA			
25		Pre-Trial Conference: 1/9/2018 at 8:30 a.m.			
26		Courtroom 4			
27		Trial: 3/6/2018 at 8:30 a.m.			
28		Courtroom 4 Jury trial: 10-12 days			
		1			

3

4

5

1

I.

Date of Scheduling Conference

August 5, 2016.

II. <u>Appearances of Counsel</u>

Greg Muir appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Jeremiah Webb appeared on behalf of Defendant Lawrence Factor, Inc.

6

7

III.

Magistrate Judge Consent:

Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing

B Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of
the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set
before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older
civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a
continued date.

The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that
of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize
criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge
may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of
Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States
Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United
States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the
Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance
notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern
District of California.

Therefore, Defendant is directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to
conduct all further proceedings, including trial. <u>Within 10 days</u> of the date of this order, counsel
SHALL file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating
whether Defendant will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

28

///

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

IV. **Pleading Amendment Deadline**

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than November 1, 2016.

V. **Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before August 26, 2016.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before May 30, 2017 and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before August 15, 2017.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses¹, in writing, on or before **June 16, 2017**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before July 14, 2017. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with 12 this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts 15 16 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions 17 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony. 18

19 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement 20 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

21 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for March 17, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, 22 23 California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the 24 conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. 25 The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this 26

27

¹ In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health 28 evaluation, the examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

4 || **VI**.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **September 1, 2017**, and heard on or before **September 29, 2017**. Non-dispositive motions are heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it 9 10 is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party 11 12 with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly 13 14 shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the 15 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule 16 this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 17 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped 18 19 from the Court's calendar. 20 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **October 3, 2017**, and heard no later 21 than November 14, 2017, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. 22 23 Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

24 VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary
adjudication, the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues
to be raised in the motion.

28

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a

question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party SHALL certify that the parties have met and conferred
 as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to
 <u>comply may result in the motion being stricken.</u>

13 **VIII.** <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

23

26

27

28

January 9, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before Judge O'Neill.

The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).
The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format,
directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules</u> of Practice for the
Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.
The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the
Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the
Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

IX. <u>Trial Date</u>

March 6, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United
States District Court Judge.

- A. This is a jury trial.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 10-12 days.
- C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of

California, Rule 285.

1

2

X. **Settlement Conference**

A Settlement Conference is scheduled for 9:30 a.m. August 4, 2017 at 510 19th Street, 3 Bakersfield, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. If 4 5 any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer not assigned to this matter, that party is directed to notify the Court no later than 60 days in advance of the 6 7 scheduled settlement conference to allow sufficient time for another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference. 8

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall 9 **appear** at the Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority 10 to negotiate and settle the case **on any terms**² at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a 11 serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the 12 procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference. 13

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via 14 fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful³ settlement demand which includes a 15 16 brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than 14 days before the settlement conference, Defendant **SHALL** respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or 17 with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is 18 19 appropriate.

20 If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of their settlement offers to their 21 Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket. 22

- 23
- 24

² Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the 25 process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent 26 demand.

³ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering 27 party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should 28 trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

1	CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT		
2	At least five court days before the Settlement Conference, the parties shall submit, directly to		
3	Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement		
4	Confe	rence	Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on
5	any ot	her	party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference
6	Statem	nent.	Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the
7	Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.		
8	The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:		
9		A.	A brief statement of the facts of the case.
10		B.	A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which
11			the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on
12			the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
13		C.	A summary of the proceedings to date.
14		D.	An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.
15		E.	The relief sought.
16		F.	The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of
17			past settlement discussions, offers and demands.
18	XI.	Rec	juest for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other
19	Techniques to Shorten Trial		
20	Not applicable at this time.		
21	XII.	<u>Rel</u>	ated Matters Pending
22		The	re are no pending related matters.
23	XIII.	Cor	npliance with Federal Procedure
24		All	counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
25	and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any		
26	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently		
27	handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal		
28	Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.		

XIV. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 5, 2016

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE