

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

FREDERICK C. ANDERSON,	)	1:16-CV-00251 - LJO - JLT
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
	)	
v.	)	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 9/20/2016
	)	
RIVERWALK HOLDINGS, LTD, et al.,	)	Discovery Deadlines:
	)	Initial Disclosures: 7/5/2016
Defendants.	)	Non-Expert: 3/31/2017
	)	Expert: 3/31/2017
		Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
		12/2/2016 at 9:00 a.m.
		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
		Filing: 4/14/2017
		Hearing: 5/12/2017
		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
		Filing: 4/28/2017
		Hearing: 6/14/2017
		Pre-Trial Conference:
		8/8/2017 at 8:30 a.m.
		Courtroom 4
		Trial: 10/3/2017 at 8:30 a.m.
		Courtroom 4
		Jury trial: 3-5 days

**I. Date of Scheduling Conference**  
June 20, 2016.

1 **II. Appearances of Counsel**

2 Matthew Loker appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

3 Tamar Gabriel appeared on behalf of Defendants.

4 **III. Magistrate Judge Consent:**

5 **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

6 Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of  
7 the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set  
8 before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older  
9 civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a  
10 continued date.

11 The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that  
12 of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize  
13 criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge  
14 may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of  
15 Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States  
16 Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

17 The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United  
18 States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the  
19 Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance  
20 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern  
21 District of California.

22 Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to  
23 conduct all further proceedings, including trial. **Within 10 days** of the date of this order, counsel  
24 **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating  
25 whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

26 **IV. Pleading Amendment Deadline**

27 Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or  
28 motion to amend, no later than **September 20, 2016**.

1 **V. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

2 The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)  
3 on or before **July 5, 2016**.

4 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery, pertaining to non-experts and experts, no later  
5 than **March 31, 2017**.

6 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **January 27,**  
7 **2017**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **February 24, 2017**. The written designation of  
8 retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),**  
9 **and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in  
10 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered  
11 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

12 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts  
13 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions  
14 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may  
15 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

16 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement  
17 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

18 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **December 2, 2016**, at 9:00 a.m., before the  
19 Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,  
20 California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the  
21 conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.  
22 The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be  
23 completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this  
24 order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear  
25 telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court  
26 days before the noticed hearing date.

27 **VI. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

28 All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later

1 than **April 14, 2017**, and heard on or before **May 12, 2017**. Non-dispositive motions are heard before  
2 the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in  
3 Bakersfield, California.

4 **No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it**  
5 **is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend.** Likewise, no written  
6 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party  
7 with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by  
8 agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly  
9 shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the  
10 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule  
11 this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at  
12 (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. **Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251**  
13 **with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped**  
14 **from the Court's calendar.**

15 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **April 28, 2017**, and heard no later  
16 than **June 14, 2017**, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United  
17 States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56**  
18 **and Local Rules 230 and 260.**

19 **VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

20 **At least 21 days before** filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary  
21 adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues  
22 to be raised in the motion.

23 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a  
24 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole  
25 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the  
26 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the  
27 expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

28 The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed

1 statement of undisputed facts **at least five days before** the conference. The finalized joint statement of  
2 undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be  
3 deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint  
4 statement of undisputed facts.

5 In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred  
6 as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to**  
7 **comply may result in the motion being stricken.**

8 **VIII. Pre-Trial Conference Date**

9 **August 8, 2017**, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before Chief Judge Lawrence J. O'Neill.

10 The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).**  
11 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format,  
12 directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

13 Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the  
14 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.  
15 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the  
16 Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the  
17 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

18 **IX. Trial Date**

19 **October 3, 2017**, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United  
20 States District Court Judge.

- 21 A. This is a jury trial.  
22 B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 3-5 days.  
23 C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of  
24 California, Rule 285.

25 **X. Settlement Conference**

26 The parties do not request a settlement conference at this time. If they agree in the future that a  
27 settlement conference is likely to be fruitful, they may file a stipulation with proposed dates, requesting  
28 the Court set a settlement conference at that time.

1 **XI. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other**  
2 **Techniques to Shorten Trial**

3 Not applicable at this time.

4 **XII. Related Matters Pending**

5 There are no pending related matters.

6 **XIII. Compliance with Federal Procedure**

7 All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure  
8 and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any  
9 amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently  
10 handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal  
11 Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

12 **XIV. Effect of this Order**

13 The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most  
14 suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the  
15 parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered  
16 to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by  
17 subsequent status conference.

18 **The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a**  
19 **showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations**  
20 **extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by**  
21 **affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause**  
22 **for granting the relief requested.**

23 Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

24  
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: June 20, 2016

27 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE