

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TANNEN SOOJIAN,
Petitioner,
v.
JOE A. LIZARRAGA,
Respondent.

Case No. 1:16-cv-00254-AWI-SAB-HC
**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED**

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On June 13, 2016, this Court ordered that within seven days Respondent was to re-serve the answer on Petitioner and to file a certificate of service with the Court. (ECF No. 23). Respondent has failed to file a certificate of service with the Court. As of August 12, 2016, Petitioner has yet to receive a copy of the answer. (ECF No. 25).

Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court.”

///
///
///
///

