

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD B. HALL,  
  
                    Plaintiff,  
  
          v.  
  
FRAUENHEIM, et al.,  
  
                    Defendants.

Case No. 1:16-cv-00263 AWI DLB  
  
**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO FOLLOW COURT ORDER  
AND FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**  
  
**THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE**

---

Plaintiff Richard B. Hall (“Plaintiff”), a state inmate in the custody of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”), is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on February 25, 2016.

On May 18, 2016, the Court screened the complaint and dismissed it with leave to amend. Pursuant to that order, an amended complaint was due within thirty (30) days. Over thirty (30) days have passed and he has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise contacted the Court.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, if any he has, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to follow a Court order and failure to prosecute. Plaintiff must file a response to this order within thirty (30) days. Plaintiff may also comply by filing an amended complaint pursuant to the May 18, 2016, order.

///  
///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Failure to follow this order will result in dismissal of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 8, 2016

/s/ Dennis L. Beck  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE