1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8			
9	WAYNE BOLTON,	1:16-cv-00298-SKO (PC)	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF ONE	
11	v.	FINAL EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
12	KIM HOLLAND, et al.,	(Docs. 21, 22)	
13	Defendants.	TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE	
14			
15	Plaintiff. Wayne Bolton, a state prisor	ner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> , filed	
16	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 22, 2017, the Court issued an		
17	order finding that Plaintiff failed to state any cognizable claims, dismissing the Complaint, and		
18	granting leave for Plaintiff to file a first amended complaint within thirty (30) days. (Doc. 18.)		
19	On March 29, 2017, an order issued granting Plaintiff's motion for a thirty (30) day extension of		
20	time to file a first amended complaint. (Docs. 19, 20.)		
21	On May 8, 2017, an order issued for Plaintiff to show cause within thirty (30) days why		
22	this action should not be dismissed based on his failure to state a claim and to obey the court's		
23	order since Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint. (Doc. 21.) Alternatively, Plaintiff was		
24	allowed to file an amended complaint within that deadline. (Id.) Plaintiff's response was filed on		
25	May 31, 2017. (Doc. 22.)		
26	Plaintiff's explanation for not filing an amended complaint is that he "is in an institution		
27	with minimal access to the law library which is very difficult" for one like him, proceeding pro		
28	se. (Doc. 22.) Plaintiff states that the deficiency which made his claims not cognizable can be		
	1		

1	cured by amendment and requests another extension of time. (Id.) Plaintiff does not provide an		
2	explanation for not filing an amended complaint within the extensions of time he has been		
3	granted. (Id.) The Court recognizes that Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and that, as an inmate, he		
4	does not have unrestricted access to conduct legal research. However, the order dismissing		
5	Plaintiff's Complaint provided him with all of the pleading and legal standards required to file an		
6	amended complaint. Plaintiff must apply the factual allegations, based on circumstances he		
7	personally experienced, to the standards set forth in that order. Plaintiff will be provided another		
8	copy of the screening order and one last extension of time to file an amended complaint.		
9	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
10	1. The order to show cause, which issued on May 31, 2017, (Doc. 21), is		
11	DISCHARGED;		
12	2. Plaintiff is granted <b>twenty-one (21) days</b> from the date of service of this order in		
13	which to file a first amended complaint;		
13	3. The Clerk's Office shall send Plaintiff a civil rights complaint form and a copy of		
15	the screening order that issued on February 22, 2017 (Doc. 18); and		
15	4. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, this action will be dismissed for		
10	failure to obey a court order and for failure to state a claim.		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
20	Dated: June 6, 2017 Isl Sheila K. Oberto		
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
27 28			
28			
	2		