1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MATTHEW B. CRAMER,) Case No.: 1:16-cv-00321-LJO-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR ORDER IN CLOSED ACTION
13	V.) (Doc. 5)
14	CAROL L. CONEY, et al.,))
15	Defendants.)
16		
17	Plaintiff Matthew B. Cramer ("Plaintiff"), a pre-trial detainee, proceeded pro se in this civil	
18	action. On March 14, 2016, the Court found Plaintiff subject to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), denied	
19	Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, dismissed this action without prejudice to refiling	
20	with the submission of the \$400.00 filing fee in full, and closed this case. (Doc. 3). However, on	
21	March 18, 2016, Plaintiff filed the instant motion for a court order informing Tulare County Sheriff's	
22	custody staff of his pro per status. (Doc. 5). As this action was dismissed and closed, Plaintiff's	
23	motion shall not be heard and is HEREBY DENIED.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: March 21, 2016	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe _
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		