

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL P. KLAHN, Sr,

Plaintiff,

v.

R. SEITZ,

Defendant.

1:16-cv-00342-DAD-JLT (PC)

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO NOTIFY COURT WHETHER A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL

14-DAY DEADLINE

Given the age of this action and the Court's ever burgeoning case load and the delays this causes, a court supervised settlement conference may be beneficial in this action. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that **within 14 days** of the date of service of this order, the parties **SHALL** notify the Court whether they believe, in good faith, that a settlement conference is likely to be fruitful.

///
///
///
///
///
///

1 Notwithstanding the requirements of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference would
2 be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. The Court deems the deviation from the Local Rule
3 to be appropriate and in the interests of the parties and justice and sound case management in this
4 action. **If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer**
5 **who is not assigned to this case, that party is directed to notify the Court in the response to**
6 **this order, that the party prefers another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the**
7 **conference.**

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: October 18, 2018

10 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE