1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL P. KLAHN, Sr.,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00342-DAD-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S ANSWER
13	v.	(Doc. 31)
14	R. SEITZ,	
15	Defendant.	
16		I
17	Defendant filed an Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on October 17, 2018.	
18	(Doc. 27.) On November 2, 2018, Plaintiff filed a response to Defendant's Answer. (Doc. 31.)	
19	Plaintiff does not have a right to file a response/surreply to Defendant's Answer under the Local	
20	Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Therefore, Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's	
21	Answer, filed on November 2, 2018 (Doc. 31), is STRICKEN from the record.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: November 7, 2018	<u>/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		