1					
1					
2					
3					
4 5					
5 6					
0 7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTR	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10					
11	DANIEL P. KLAHN, SR.,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00342-DAD-JLT (PC)			
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR			
13	V.	VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE, FED. R. CIV. P. 41			
14	WASCO STATE PRISON, et al.,	(Doc. 39)			
15	Defendants.	CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE CASE			
16					
17	On March 4, 2019, the parties filed a stipulation of voluntary dismissal with prejudice of				
18	this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A). Rule 41(a)(1)(A), in relevant				
19	part, reads:				
20	albilition of the opposing party serves ender all allower of a motion for				
21	summary judgment; (ii) a stipulated dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.				
22	Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an				
23	answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismi	ss signed by all of the parties who have appeared,			
24	although an oral stipulation in open court will	ough an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan			
25	Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Et	itel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir.			
26	1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in				
27	open court, no order of the court is necessary t	n court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro.			
28	41(a)(1)(ii); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule				
		1			

1	41(a)(1)(ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and		
2	does not require judicial approval." In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v.		
3	A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG,		
4	377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074,		
5	1077 (9th Cir. 1999) cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing		
6	Rule 41(a)(1) dismissals). "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or		
7	all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically terminates the		
8	action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice." Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692; Concha		
9	v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).		
10	Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under		
11	Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has		
12	terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466; Gardiner, 747 F.2d		
13	at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf.		
14	Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action.		
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated: March 5, 2019 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		