1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO (BAR NO PETER A. GRIFFIN (BAR NO. 306201) ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com	. 239015)		
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
10				
11				
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 1:16-cv-00344-LJO-JLT		
13	COMMISSION, Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO RELEASE WRONGFUL DEATH ACTION		
14	V.	FROM LITIGATION STAY; ORDER THEREON		
15	BIC REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT	THEREON		
16	CORP., et al.,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	STIPU	<u>LATION</u>		
20	The following Stipulation to Release	se Wrongful Death Action from Litigation		
21	Stay (the "Stipulation") is made by and between (1) David P. Stapleton (the			
22	"Receiver"), the Court-appointed receiver	for Defendants BIC Real Estate		
23	Development Corporation ("BIC") and its	s subsidiaries and affiliates, including but		
24	not limited to, WM Petroleum; Target Oil & Gas Drilling, Inc. dba Target Drilling			
25	Company ("Target Drilling"); Tier 1 Solar Power Company; Tier 1 Solar Power			
26	Company, LLC; and Home Sweet Holdings (collectively, the "Receivership			
27	Entities"); (2) Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"); (3)			
28	Defendant Daniel R. Nase ("Mr. Nase");	and (4) the plaintiffs (the "Morales		
	a a constant of the constant o			

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1	Plaintiffs")	in the action styled Morales v. Target Oil & Gas Drilling, Inc., et al.,
2	pending in t	he Kern County Superior Court as case number BCV-16-100657 (the
3	"Morales Action") (collectively, with the Receiver, the SEC, and Mr. Nase, the	
4	"Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, and in reliance on and	
5	with respect to the following facts:	
6	A.	On April 8, 2016, this Court entered its Stipulated Preliminary
7		Injunction and Orders (1) Freezing Assets, and (2) Appointing a
8		Permanent Receiver (the "Appointment Order") (Dkt. No. 42).
9	В.	Among other things, the Appointment Order appointed the Receiver as
10		the permanent receiver for the Receivership Entities and imposed a
11		preliminary injunction and litigation stay, which stay precludes all
12		persons and entities from commencing, prosecuting, continuing or
13		enforcing any suit or proceeding against any of the Receivership
14		Entities (the "Litigation Stay"), without permission from this Court.
15	C.	The Morales Action was filed on March 24, 2016, before the entry of
16		the Appointment Order, by Benny Morales; Brandon Morales; Anthony
17		Ochoa; Jacob Ochoa; Mercedes Ochoa; all minors, by and through their
18		Guardian Ad Litem, Angela Ochoa (again, collectively, the "Morales

- C. The Morales Action was filed on March 24, 2016, before the entry of the Appointment Order, by Benny Morales; Brandon Morales; Anthony Ochoa; Jacob Ochoa; Mercedes Ochoa; all minors, by and through their Guardian Ad Litem, Angela Ochoa (again, collectively, the "Morales Plaintiffs"). In their Complaint in the Morales Action, the Morales Plaintiffs allege that certain Receivership Entities and affiliated entities, including Target Drilling; WM Petroleum; Bakersfield Investment Club; BIC; and BIC Solo 401k Trust (collectively, the "Morales Defendants") are liable for the wrongful death of Bernardo Morales, Jr. ("Mr. Morales").
- D. The Litigation Stay presently bars the continued prosecution of the Morales Action by the Morales Plaintiffs against any Receivership Entity.

28

1064736.10/LA

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
76	ll .

- E. In accordance with his authority under the Appointment Order, upon learning of the Morales Action, the Receiver filed a Notice of Pending Receivership in the Morales Action, advising the parties thereto of the Litigation Stay. As of the date of this Stipulation, the Parties understand that the Morales Action has been stayed as to the Receivership Entities in accordance with the requirements of the Appointment Order.
- F. At the time of Mr. Morales' death, and as it relates to the Morales Action, the Parties understand and have asserted that Target Drilling was the beneficiary of an insurance policy (policy number ZPP-11P82019-14-N4 [the "Travelers Policy"]) issued by Travelers dba St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company (the "Insurer").
- G. The Receiver, as the legal representative of Target Drilling, has tendered the defense of Target Drilling in the Morales Action to the Insurer.
- H. The Insurer has engaged counsel to defend its named insured, "Target Drilling Company", in the Morales Action, but has disputed the timing and effect of any pre-receivership tender, and has not confirmed whether its acceptance of a tender, if any, has been or will be without a reservation of rights. Out of an abundance of caution, and as reflected in Recital G, above, the Receiver has tendered the matter to the Insurer. The Insurer has contested whether the Receivership Entity Target Oil & Gas Drilling, Inc. and its insured, "Target Drilling Company", are the same entity, and has not advised whether the Receiver's tender of the matter has been accepted and, if so, whether it has been accepted without a reservation of rights.

28

1064736.10/LA

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	S
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I. The Morales Plaintiffs have requested that the Receiver, the SEC, and Mr. Nase agree to release the Morales Action from the Litigation Stay in order to enable its continued prosecution.

J. After meeting and conferring, the Parties have agreed that, subject to approval by this Court, the Morales Action may be released from the Litigation Stay provided that the Morales Plaintiffs agree to:

(i) proceed with the prosecution of the Morales Action such that any damages or payment due to them from the Receivership Entities, by way of damages, indemnity, or otherwise, will be paid by the Travelers Policy, up to its policy limit, exclusively; and (ii) conditionally release the Morales Defendants, including the Receivership Entities, from any and all claims arising from or in connection with the facts underlying the Morales Action, as detailed further below.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Accordingly, and in consideration of the foregoing facts, the Parties hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the Morales Action and the claims alleged therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754 and the doctrines of pendent and ancillary jurisdiction;
- 2. The Morales Action shall, conditioned on the below, be released from the Litigation Stay imposed by the Appointment Order, without further order of this Court;
- 3. Within ten (10) business days of the entry of an order from this Court approving this Stipulation, the Receiver shall file a Notice of Release of Litigation Stay in the Morales Action, advising the presiding court and the parties that the Litigation Stay imposed pursuant to the Appointment Order has been released with respect to the Morales Action;

26

27

28

- 4. In the event that the Morales Plaintiffs obtain a judgment against Target Drilling, or any Receivership Entity, in the Morales Action, their recovery on that judgment shall be solely limited to any proceeds due and payable from the Policy;
- 5. The Morales Plaintiffs, for themselves and their successors and assigns, shall completely and unequivocally release the Receiver, in his personal capacity and his capacity as the Receiver, as well as the Morales Defendants, including the Receivership Entities, from any and all claims, demands, debts, obligations, liabilities, costs, expenses, rights of action causes of action, awards and judgments arising from, or in connection with, the Morales Action and the facts underlying the Morales Action, provided that such release shall not be effective until such time as the Morales Action is settled or otherwise resolved by a payment of proceeds from the Travelers Policy;
- 6. In the event that: (a) the Insurer denies the Receiver's tender of the defense of Target Drilling in the Morales Action; (b) the Morales Plaintiffs submit a written request for assignment to the Receiver; or (c) the Receiver determines it is otherwise appropriate, the Receiver will assign Target Drilling's rights under the Travelers Policy to the Morales Plaintiffs, along with any rights the Receivership Entities have in claims associated with the procurement of the Travelers Policy, including against brokers and insurance agents. In the event of such an assignment by the Receiver, the Morales Plaintiffs shall assume all risk attendant to and arising from any assignment of the Travelers Policy and any associated rights, and the Travelers Policy itself, and neither the Receiver or the Receivership Entities shall retain any liability in connection with or arising from the assignment of the Travelers Policy,

1		the effectiveness of the assi	gnment, the Travelers Policy, or the
2		Morales Litigation;	
3	7. Notwithstanding the language of Paragraph 5, above, the Morales		ge of Paragraph 5, above, the Morales
4	4 Plaintiffs may file a claim against the Receivership Entition		gainst the Receivership Entities in
5	connection with and pursuan		nt to any claims process developed by the
6		Receiver and approved by t	he Court, provided that such claim shall be
7		compensable, if at all, exclu	sively from Travelers Policy proceeds; and
8	8.	All other provisions of the G	Court's prior orders shall remain in full force
9		and effect and the Parties sh	nall retain any and all rights not addressed
10		by this Stipulation.	
11			
12	SO STIPULATED.		
13			
14	Dated: Mai	rch 20, 2017	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
15			DAVID R. ZARO JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO
16			PETER A. GRIFFIN
17			By: /s/ Joshua A. del Castillo
18			JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO Attorneys for Receiver
19			DAVID P. STAPLETON
20	Dated: Mai	rch 20, 2017	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
21			
22			By: /s/ John B. Bulgozdy JOHN B. BULGOZDY
23			Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
24			COMMISSION
25			
26			
27			
28			
l	1		

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1	SCOTT VICK	
2		
3	SCOTT VICK	
4	4 Attorneys for Defendan DANIEL NASE	t
5	5	
6	6 Dated: March 20, 2017 LAW OFFICES OF YOUN WOOLDRIDGE, LLP	G
7	WOOLDRIDGE, LLP NED DUNPHY	
8	By: /s/ Ned Dunph	y
9		
10	Attorneys for MORALES PLAINTIF	FS
11	11	
12	12 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: <u>March 21, 2017</u> /s/ Lawrence J. O'Nell	
14	14 UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRIC	CT JUDGE
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	
27	27	
28	28	
Gamble	amble	6 ov 00344 I IO II T

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP