1		
1		
1 2		
2		
<i>3</i> 4		
5		
6		
7		
, 8		
9		
10		
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 1:16-cv-00344-LJO-JLT
12	COMMISSION,	ORDER GRANTING THIRD INTERIM
13	Plaintiff,	APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT OF FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES
14	v.	OF RECEIVER AND HIS PROFESSIONALS; VACATING AUGUST 6,
15	BIC REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION and DANIEL R.	2016 HEARING
16	NASE, individually and d/b/a BAKERSFIELD INVESTMENT CLUB,	(ECF Nos. 377, 379)
17	Defendants,	
18	BIC SOLO 401K TRUST and	
19	MARGARITA NASE, Relief Defendants.	
20	Kener Derendants.	
21	Before the Court is the unopposed Third Interim Application for Payment of Fees and	
22	Reimbursement of Expenses (the "Application") filed by David P. Stapleton (the "Receiver"), the	
23	court-appointed permanent receiver for Defendant BIC Real Estate Development Corporation and	
24	its subsidiaries and affiliates, including but not limited to, WM Petroleum; Target Oil & Gas	
25	Drilling, Inc.; Tier 1 Solar Power Company; Tier 1 Solar Power Company, LLC; and Home Sweet	
26	Holdings (collectively, the "Receivership Entities"), together with the Receiver's counsel of	
27	record, Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP ("Allen Matkins"), for services	
28	rendered for the period of March 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017 ("Application Period"). (ECF	

Nos. 377, 379.) In his Application, the Receiver requests approval of his fees and expenses
 (\$373,408.00 and \$4,538, respectively), and payment of 75% of his fees (\$280,056.00) and 100%
 of his expenses (\$4,538.). (ECF No. 379 at 2.) The Receiver also requests approval of Allen
 Matkins' fees and expenses (\$239,908.95 and \$13,558.77, respectively), and payment of 75% of
 its fees (\$179.931.71), and 100% of its expenses (\$13,558.77). (*Id.*)

6 Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") submitted a response to the
7 Application indicating that it supports the requested interim payments. (ECF No. 391.) There were
8 no other responses or objections filed in response to the Receiver's Application.

9

I. <u>STANDARD OF DECISION</u>

10 The determination of the amount to be awarded to a receiver and his professionals is in the district court's sound discretion and should be "reasonable under the circumstances." In re 11 Washington Public Power Supply Systems Sec. Litig., 19 F.3d 1291, 1296 (9th Cir. 1994); see also 12 In re San Vicente Medical Partners, Ltd., 962 F.2d 1402, 1409 (9th Cir. 1992) (trial court has 13 discretion to determine reasonable compensation for receiver). The entitlement to reasonable 14 15 compensation extends to the professionals employed by the receiver. See Drilling & Expl. Corp. v. Webster, 69 F.2d 416, 418 (9th Cir. 1934). "The receiver bears the burden to demonstrate to the 16 court [any] entitlement to [the] payment of fees and costs in the amount requested." SEC v. Total 17 Wealth Mgmt., Inc., No. 15-CV-226-BAS-DHB, 2016 WL 727073, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 24, 18 2016) (citing 65 Am. Jur. 2d, Receivers § 228 (2d ed. Feb. 2016 update)). The amount of 19 20compensation to be awarded is firmly within the discretion of the district court, and generally is a charge upon the property or funds in receivership. Gaskill v. Gordon, 27 F.3d 248, 253 (7th Cir. 21 1994). 22 "An award of interim fees is appropriate 'where both the magnitude and the protracted 23 nature of a case impose economic hardships on professionals rendering services to the estate."" 24

25 SEC v. Small Bus. Capital Corp., No. 5:12-CV-03237 EJD, 2013 WL 2146605, at *2 (N.D. Cal.

26 May 15, 2013) (citation omitted). In determining the reasonableness of the fees and costs

27 requested, the court should consider the "economy of administration, the burden that the estate

28 may safely be able to bear, the amount of time required, although not necessarily expended, and

the overall value of the services to the estate." *In re Imperial '400' Nat'l, Inc.*, 432 F.2d 232, 237
(3d Cir. 1970). However, courts will "[f]requently . . . withhold a portion of the requested interim
fees because 'until the case is concluded the court may not be able to accurately determine the
"reasonable" value of the services for which the allowance of interim compensation is sought." *Small Bus. Capital Corp.*, 2013 WL 2146605, at *2 (citation omitted). Finally, in a securities
receivership, "[o]pposition or acquiescence by the SEC to the fee application will be given great
weight." *SEC v. Fifth Ave. Coach Lines*, 364 F. Supp. 1220, 1222 (S.D.N.Y. 1973).

- 8 II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>
- 9

A. Receiver's Requested Fees

During the Application Period, the Receiver and his staff identified and recovered Receivership assets, examined bank statement activity, established accounting procedures, managed properties belonging to the Receivership, communicated with counsel, the SEC, and investors, took steps to dispose of real property assets, and continued with money-in money-out accounting, among other tasks. The Receiver and his staff spent approximately 2,153 hours working on behalf of the Receivership Entities, at a weighted average hourly billing rate of \$173.44. (ECF No. 379-3 at 8.)

All fees and expenses incurred during the Application period are documented and
presented in detailed time records, which allowed the Court to evaluate the tasks performed, hours
expended, and total fees incurred. (ECF No. 379-3, Ex. A.) The Receiver has applied a discount to
all time spent on this matter, and has endeavored to use his staff efficiently to complete the
necessary tasks. (ECF No. 379-3 at 8.)

The requested amount falls within the budget range approved by this Court for the
Application Period. (ECF Nos. 295, 298.) The SEC's support for the Receiver's request also
weighs in favor of finding that the fee application is reasonable. *See SEC v. Total Wealth Mgmt.*, *Inc.*, No. 15-CV-226-BAS-DHB, 2016 WL 7242080, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 15, 2016).
In light of the complexity of the matter, the degree of responsibility involved, the business
ability required, and the number of hours expended during the Application Period, the requested

28 fee award is reasonable. Accordingly, the Court approves, on an interim basis, the Receiver's

request for \$373,408.00 in fees and \$4,538.57 in expenses, and approves payment of 75% of his
 fees (\$280,056.00) and 100% of his expenses.

3

B. Allen Matkins' Requested Fees

During the Application Period, Allen Matkins spent approximately 501.1 hours working on 4 behalf of the Receivership Entities, at a weighted average billing rate of \$478.76 per hour, for fees 5 totaling \$239,908.95. (ECF No. 379-3 at 8.) In addition, Allen Matkins incurred \$13,558.77 in 6 expenses. (Id.) The firm performed a wide range of tasks assisting the Receiver during the relevant 7 period, including assisting the Receiver with preparing submissions to this Court assisting with the 8 legal aspects of asset recovery and administration, corresponding with third party creditors, 9 10 assisting with the sale and disposal of Receivership assets, and developing a claims process, 11 among other tasks. (Id. at 14-19.)

Allen Matkins is a well-known firm with extensive experience in federal receiverships, and
the firm's billing rates are comparable to the usual fees for similarly complex services in the
community. Allen Matkins also applied an across-the-board discount of 10% to all attorney time
billed to this matter. (*Id.* at 8.) The detailed time records submitted indicate that the firm staffed
the tasks performed appropriately and efficiently based on the expertise required. (ECF No. 379-3,
Ex. B.) The firm's efforts have substantially benefited the Receivership Entities.

The requested amount falls within the budget range for legal fees approved by this Court 18 for the Application Period. (ECF Nos. 295, 298.) As in the case of the Receiver's fee application, 19 20the Court ascribes great weight to the SEC's support for Allen Matkins' request for fees and reimbursement of expenses. (ECF No. 391.) Accordingly, the Court approves, on an interim basis, 21 22 Allen Matkins' request for \$239,908.95 in fees incurred during the Application Period, and authorizes the payment, on an interim basis, of 75% of the fees incurred, in the amount of 23 \$179,931.71. In addition, the firm's request for reimbursement of expenses in the amount of 24 25 \$13,558.77 is approved as reasonable.

- 26
- 27
- 28

1	III. <u>CONCLUSION AND ORDER</u>	
2	Having considered the Application, and all its supporting materials, the Court finds the	
3	requested fees and expenses are appropriate under the circumstances and will reasonably, but not	
4	excessively, compensate the Receiver and Allen Matkins for their efforts.	
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:	
6	1. Fees and expenses of the Receiver in the respective amounts of \$373,408.00 and	
7	\$4,538 are approved, and payment to the Receiver of 75% of his fees, or \$280,056.00, and	
8	reimbursement of 100% of his expenses, or \$4,538, is awarded on an interim basis; and	
9	2. Fees and expenses of Allen Matkins in the respective amounts of \$239,908.95 and	
10	\$4,038.28 are approved, and payment to Allen Matkins of 75% of its fees, or \$179,931.71, and	
11	100% of its expenses, or \$13,558.77, is awarded on an interim basis;	
12	3. The Receiver is authorized to pay such sums out of assets of the Receivership	
13	Entities;	
14	4. The motion hearing, currently set for August 6, 2018, is VACATED.	
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: August 1, 2018 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
18	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		