2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MONTE WILCON 1		
11	MONTE WILSON, et al.,)	Case No.: 1:16-cv-00387 JLT
12	Plaintiffs, v.)	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
13	CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, et al.,)	(1eu. R. Civ. 1 . 10)
14	Defendants.)	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 1/20/2017
15)	Discovery Deadlines:
16)	Initial Disclosures: 10/6/2016 Non-Expert: 9/8/2017
17			Expert: 11/10/2017
18			Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 6/19/2017, 8:30 a.m.
19			Non-dispositive Motion Deadlines:
20			Filing: 11/3/2017
21			Hearing: 12/1/2017
22			Dispositive Motion Deadline: Filing: 12/22/2017
23			Hearing: 1/19/2018
24			Settlement Conference:
25			2/27/2018 at 10:00 a.m., Courtroom 7
26			Pre-Trial Conference: 3/2/2018 at 8:30 a.m.
27			
28			Trial: 4/16/2018 at 8:30 a.m., Jury trial: 5-7 days

I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>

September 13, 2016

II. Appearances of Counsel

John Kawai appeared on behalf of the plaintiff.

Heather Cohen appeared on behalf of the defendants.

III. Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **January 20, 2017**.

IV. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Dates

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **October 6, 2016**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on **September 8, 2017** and discovery pertaining to experts on or before **November 10, 2017**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **September 22**, **2017**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **October 13**, **2017**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts **shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2)**, **(A)**, **(B)**, and **(C)** and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **June 19, 2017** at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the

conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

V. <u>Non-dispositive Motion Deadline</u>

Any non-dispositive motions **SHALL** be filed no later than **November 3, 2017**, and heard no later than **December 1, 2017**.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from the Court's calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Court may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion *must* comply with Local Rule 251. Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall.

VI. <u>Dispositive Motion Deadlines</u>

Dispositive motions **SHALL** be filed no later than **December 22, 2017**, and heard no later than **January 19, 2018**. Neither the motion nor the opposition **SHALL** exceed 30 pages, exclusive of evidence and evidentiary objections, unless the Court grants leave *prior* to the filing of the pertinent

1 2

3

56

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 | ///

pleading; requests for leave after the filing will be disregarded and all pages over 30 pages will not be considered.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.

VII. Pre-Trial Conference Date

March 2, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers, by email at JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

VIII. Trial Date

April 16, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. before Magistrate Judge Thurston at the United States Courthouse, located at 51019th Street, Bakersfield, CA.

- A. This is a jury trial.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 5-7 days.
- C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.

IX. Settlement Conference

A settlement conference is scheduled for **February 27, 2018** at 10:00 a.m. at the Robert E. Coyle Federal Courthouse, located at 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, CA, courtroom 7, before the Honorable Sheila K. Oberto.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, <u>the attorneys who will try the case shall</u> <u>appear</u> at the settlement conference <u>with the parties</u> and the person or persons having <u>full authority</u> to negotiate and settle the case <u>on any terms</u>¹ at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful² settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than 14 days before the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

<u>Unless otherwise ordered by Judge Oberto, at least five court days before</u> the settlement conference, the parties shall submit, directly to Judge Oberto's chambers by e-mail to SKOorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. The statement should not-be-filed with the Clerk of the Court nor-served-on-any-other-party, although the parties

¹ Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

² "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party.

may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a <u>forthright</u> evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
- D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.
- E. The relief sought.

F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

X. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other

Techniques to Shorten Trial

The parties agree that the amount punitive damages, if any, may be bifurcated. They may raise other issues regarding trial phasing or bifurcation in their joint pre-trial statement.

XI. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

XII. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing caseload, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

XIII. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda

most suitable to dispose of this case. The hearing dates are specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this order are firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 13, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE