1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 STEWART MANAGO, No. 1:16-cv-00399 LJO DLB PC 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING 13 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE v. **ORDER** 14 DAVEY, et al., (Document 42) 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Stewart Manago ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma 18 pauperis, filed this civil rights action on March 24, 2016. The action is proceeding on Plaintiff's 19 First Amendment retaliation claim against Defendants Davey, Sexton, Vander Poel, Maxfield, 20 Valdez, Acevedo and Razo. The action is currently in discovery. 21 On July 7, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion for a protective order. The matter was referred to 22 a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 23 On July 13, 2016, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations that 24 Plaintiff's motion be denied. The Findings and Recommendations were served on Plaintiff and 25 contained notice that any objections must be filed within thirty (30) days. Over thirty (30) days 26 have passed and Plaintiff has not filed objections. 27 /// 28

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a
2	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the
3	Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
5	1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed July 13, 2016, are adopted in full; and
6	2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (Document 40) is DENIED.
7	
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated: August 25, 2016 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
26	
27	
28	