1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLES ACE COOK, JR,) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-00429-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CLOSING CASE AS TO JONES AND
13	vs.	MAYER, CHARLES F. NEUMEYER AND GEORGE ROSCOE TRINDALL, III ONLY
14	CITY OF CALIFORNIA CITY, et al.) (Doc. 63)
15	Defendants.) (Doc. 03)
16		_)
17	On February 22, 2017, the plaintiff filed a notice of voluntary dismissal of the case as to	
18	defendants Jones and Mayer, Charles F. Neumeyer and George Roscoe Trindall, III only. (Doc. 63)	
19	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 41 provides that "the plaintiff may dismiss an action without	
20	a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or	
21	a motion for summary judgment" Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Once such a notice has been	
22	filed, an order of the Court is not required to make the dismissal effective. Fed. R. Civ. P.	
23	41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997).	
24	Here, these defendants have filed a motion to dismiss but have not answered or filed a	
25	motion for summary judgment.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action as to Jones and Mayer, Charles F. Neumeyer and George Roscoe Trindall, III only in light of the notice of dismissal with prejudice filed and properly signed pursuant to Rule 41(a). IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **February 23, 2017**