1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	KERN COUNTY HOSPITAL AUTHORITY,	) 1:	16-0	CV-00432 - DAD - JLT
11	Plaintiff,	) ) SC	HEI	DULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
12	,	) Ple	eadir	ng Amendment Deadline: 9/19/2016
13	v. CIGNA HEALTHCARE OF	) Di	scov	ery Deadlines: Initial Disclosures: 6/30/2016
14	CALIFORNIA, et al.,	)		Non-Expert: 1/31/2017 Expert: 3/31/2017
15	Defendants.	)		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 10/31/2016 at 9:00 a.m.
16 17		- No	n-D	ispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 4/14/2017 Hearing: 5/12/2017
18		D:	g <b>n</b> og	_
19		Di	spos	itive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 6/6/2017 Hearing: 7/18/2017
20		C.	441	_
21		se	шеп	nent Conference: 9/11/2017 at 9:30 a.m.
22		D.,	о Ти <sup>‡</sup>	510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA all Conference:
23		PI	3-1H	10/2/2017 at 1:30 p.m.
24		т.,	. 1.	Courtroom 5
25		11	ial:	12/5/2017 at 1:00 p.m. Courtroom 5
26				Jury trial: 3-4 days
27				
28				

# I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>

June 23, 2016.

## II. Appearances of Counsel

Jennifer Jiao appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Joshua Traver appeared on behalf of Defendant.

### **III.** Magistrate Judge Consent:

### **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a continued date.

The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern District of California.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. Within 10 days of the date of this order, counsel SHALL file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

# IV. Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments<sup>1</sup> are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **September 19, 2016**.

#### V. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

Counsel SHALL meet and confer to develop a stipulated protective order to apply in this case. They SHALL file the stipulated protective order no later than **July 8, 2016.** 

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **June 30, 2016**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **January 31, 2017**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **March 31, 2017**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **February 15**, **2017**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **March 8**, **2017**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder.** Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **October 31, 2016,** at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff **SHALL** use best efforts to determine whether CIGNA is responsible for the charges related to patient W.W. within 30 days. In event CIGNA may not be held responsible, Plaintiff intends to amend the complaint to "doe-in" the proper party and to delete CIGNA from this portion of the complaint.

The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

# VI. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule

from the Court's calendar.

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **April 14, 2017**, and heard on or before **May 12, 2017**. Non-dispositive motions are heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **June 6, 2017**, and heard no later than **July 18, 2017**, in Courtroom 5 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260**.

#### VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues

to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.** 

## VIII. Pre-Trial Conference Date

October 2, 2017, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 5 before Judge Drozd.

The parties are ordered to file a **joint pretrial statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2)**. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Drozd's chambers, by email at DADorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules</u> of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the joint pretrial statement\_shall include a joint statement\_of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

## IX. Trial Date

**December 5, 2017** at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 5 before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United States District Court Judge.

A. This is a jury trial.

3 | California, Rule 285.

C.

## X. <u>Settlement Conference</u>

A settlement conference is scheduled for **September 11, 2017** at 9:30 a.m. DATE at 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. <u>If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer who is not assigned to this case, that party is directed to notify the Court no later than 60 days in advance of the scheduled settlement conference to allow sufficient time for another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference.</u>

Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the settlement conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms<sup>2</sup> at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful<sup>3</sup> settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than 14 days before the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of their settlement offers to their confidential settlement conference statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand

demand.

3 "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party.

## CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least five court days before the settlement conference, the parties shall submit, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a confidential settlement conference statement. The statement <a href="mailto:should-not-be-filed">should-not-be-filed</a> with the Clerk of the Court <a href="mailto:nor-served-on-any-other-party">nor-served-on-any-other-party</a>, although the parties may file a notice of lodging of settlement conference statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

The confidential settlement conference statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
- D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.
- E. The relief sought.

F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

# XI. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other

#### **Techniques to Shorten Trial**

Not applicable at this time.

# XII. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

# XIII. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

#### XIV. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **June 23, 2016** 

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE