I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>

October 3, 2016

II. Appearances of Counsel

No appearance by the plaintiffs or their counsel.

Elizabeth Stallard appeared on behalf of the defendants.

III. Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **January 20, 2017**.

IV. <u>Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Dates</u>

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **October 26, 2016**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts no later than **March 24, 2017** and all discovery related to experts no later than **June 9, 2017**.

The plaintiffs **SHALL** disclose their expert witnesses in writing no later than **April 7**, **2017**, and to disclose any rebuttal experts no later than **May 19**, **2017**. The defendants **SHALL** disclose their expert witnesses in writing no later than **April 28**, **2017**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts **shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.**

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **January 12**, **2017** at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,

California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

V. <u>Non-dispositive Motion Deadline</u>

Any non-dispositive motions **SHALL** be filed no later than **June 23, 2017**, and heard no later than **July 21, 2017**.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend.

Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from the Court's calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Court may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion *must* comply with Local Rule 251. Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall.

VI. Dispositive Motion Deadlines

Dispositive motions SHALL be filed no later than June 23, 2017, and heard no later than

1 2

August 8, 2017. Neither the motion nor the opposition **SHALL** exceed 30 pages, exclusive of evidence and evidentiary objections, unless the Court grants leave *prior* to the filing of the pertinent pleading; requests for leave after the filing will be disregarded and all pages over 30 pages will not be considered.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.**

VII. Pre-Trial Conference Date

September 19, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. The parties are ordered to file a <u>Joint Pretrial</u>

Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules</u> of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

VIII. Trial Date

November 14, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. in courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge.

- A. This is a jury trial.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 4-5 days.
- C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of

California, Rule 285.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

IX. Settlement Conference

The parties may request a settlement conference, via a stipulation setting forth proposed dates, in the event they believe a conference may be fruitful. The stipulation should propose dates for the conference and should be filed at least 60 days before the proposed date.

X. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other

Techniques to Shorten Trial

The parties agree that the amount punitive damages, if any, may be bifurcated. They may raise other issues regarding trial phasing or bifurcation in their joint pre-trial statement.

XI. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

XII. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing caseload, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

XIII. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The hearing dates are specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

25 | ///

26 | | ///

27 | | ///

28 | 1 ///

The dates set in this order are firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **October 4, 2016**