## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SEE LEE, et al., Case No. 1:16 -cv-00465-LJO-SAB 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING 13 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND v. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE 14 (ECF Nos. 4, 7, 8, 9, 10) INSURANCE COMPANY, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiffs filed this action alleging violations California law on March 1, 2016 in Merced 18 County Superior Court. On April 1, 2016, Defendants removed the action to the Eastern District 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

of California. On April 5, 2016, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand which was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On May 3, 2016, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations. The

Findings and Recommendations recommended denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand. The Findings and Recommendations was served on the parties and contained notice that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days (14) days from the date of service. The period for filing objections has passed and no objections have been filed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted

a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The Findings and Recommendations, filed May 3, 2016, is ADOPTED IN FULL; 1. and Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand and Request for Attorney Fees filed April 5, 2016 is 2. DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE Dated: May 24, 2016