## 1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 EDWARD DAVID JONES, JR., 1:16-cv-00469-DAD-BAM (PC) 8 Appeal No. 18-15262 Plaintiff, 9 ORDER REGARDING IN FORMA v. PAUPERIS STATUS ON APPEAL 10 SCHWARZENEGGER, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 Plaintiff Edward David Jones, Jr. is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in formal 14 pauperis in this civil action. On January 24, 2018, the Court dismissed this action for failure to 15 state a claim. (ECF Nos. 35, 36.) On February 8, 2018, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. (ECF 16 No. 37.) 17 On March 6, 2018, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals referred the matter back to this 18 Court for the limited purpose of determining whether in forma pauperis status should continue 19 for the appeal. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). The Court finds that this appeal is not taken in bad faith 20 and is not frivolous. Accordingly, in forma pauperis status should not be revoked and should 21 continue on appeal. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe March 9, 2018 Dated: 25 26 27

28