1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ERICK D. HENSON,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00471-LJO-MJS (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
12	V.	MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS MOOT
13	C.D.C.R., et al.,	(ECF No. 12)
14	Defendants.	
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	_	
17		
18		
19	Plaintiff is a County inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil	
20	Ingrits action brought pursuant to 42 0.5.C. § 1965. Before the Court is Plaintin's May 4,	
21	2010, motion to proceed in forma paupens. (LOI 140. 12.)	
22	Plaintiff already has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this action. (ECF No. 9.) Accordingly, the present motion is HEREBY DENIED as moot.	
23	action. (ECF No. 9.) Accordingly, the presen	t motion is hereby denied as moot.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		11 0 0 C C C
26	Dated: <u>May 9, 2016</u>	Isl Michael J. Seng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		UNITED STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		