1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOEY FITCH,	No. 1:16-cv-00489-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JOSEPH GALLAND, CHRISTOPHER KNUTSON, and JEFFERY WATTS,	
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff Joey Fitch is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 7, 2016, plaintiff filed a motion seeking leave to amend	
20	his complaint as well as a first amended complaint. (Doc. Nos. 14–15.) As of that date,	
21	defendant Joseph Galland had not yet been served in this action. Pursuant to Rule 15 of the	
22	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this court, plaintiff's complaint has	
23	therefore been amended as a matter of course.	
24	Accordingly,	
25	1. Plaintiff's first amended complaint (Doc. No. 15) is deemed the operative complaint in	
26	this action;	
27	2. Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint (Doc. No. 14) is denied as having been	
28	rendered moot; and	1

1	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to update the case caption and docket to reflect the	
2	newly named defendants in this action.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: July 20, 2016	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2021		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		