

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYLVIA ESTRADA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,  
Defendant.

Case No. 1:16-cv-00497-SAB

**ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW  
CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT  
BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO  
PROSECUTE**

Response due June 24, 2016

Plaintiff Sylvia Estrada (“Plaintiff”), proceeding pro se, seeks judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner” or “Defendant”) denying her application for disability benefits pursuant to the Social Security Act. On May 4, 2016, the summons in this action issued and the scheduling order and an order for pro se litigants were issued. Both the scheduling order and the informational order informed Plaintiff that she was required to serve the complaint within 20 days and file a return of service with this court. (ECF No. 6 at ¶ 1; ECF No. 7 at p. 1-2.) To date, no return of service has been filed with the Court and there is no indication that Plaintiff has served the summons or complaint.

Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to

1 control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate,  
2 including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir.  
3 2000).

4 Accordingly, Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE why this action should  
5 not be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's scheduling order and  
6 Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action. Plaintiff shall file a written response to this order to  
7 show cause no later than **June 24, 2016**. Plaintiff is forewarned that failure to respond to this  
8 order to show cause will result in the dismissal of this action.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: **June 7, 2016**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28