1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 10	HOUSTON CASUALTY COMPANY,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00535-LJO-EPG	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON STIPULATION	
12		REGARDING CRUM & FORSTER'S ANSWER TO	
13	V.	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
14	CHARTER OAK FIRE INSURANCE		
15	COMPANY, et al.		
16	Defendants.		
17	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, it is hereby		
18	ORDERED that Crum & Forster Specialty Insurance Company's Answer to Plaintiff		
19	Houston Casualty Company's Original Complaint [Doc. 29] is hereby deemed as		
20	Crum and Forster's Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint [Doc. 95].		
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated: March 7, 2017	Ist Encir P. Grosp	
24		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
25			
26			
27			
28			

_

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
10
11
12 13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

_