

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
5

6 **LARRY WILLIAM CORTINAS,**

7 **Plaintiff,**

8 **v.**

9 **CONALL MCCABE, et al.,**

10 **Defendants.**
11

1:16-CV-558-LJO-MJS (PC)

**ORDER RE DEFENDANTS' REQUEST
FOR CLARIFICATION OF
SCREENING ORDER (Doc. 17)**

12
13 Defendants Clark, Beregovskaya, Lenoir, and McCabe request that the Court clarify “whether
14 Plaintiff’s Fourteenth Amendment claim is proceeding against them or was dismissed” by the Court’s
15 screening Order.

16 The Court found in its screening order that “Plaintiff has stated claims under the Eighth and
17 Fourteenth Amendment against Clark, Beregovskaya, Lenoir, and McCabe.” The order’s conclusion,
18 however, failed to make clear that Plaintiff’s Fourteenth Amendment claim against those Defendants
19 may proceed. Accordingly, to clarify, the Court ORDERS that Plaintiff’s Fourteenth Amendment claim
20 against Defendants Clark, Beregovskaya, Lenoir, and McCabe may proceed.
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: **April 17, 2017**

/s/ Lawrence J. O’Neill
UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE