1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GERALD H. STOLP,	1:16 -cv-0560 JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	(Doc.17)
14	KIM HOLLAND,	(Doc.17)
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d	
19	479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title	
20	18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the	
21	interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the	
22	present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of	
23	counsel at the present time. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is	
24	DENIED.	
25	W M GO ODDESES	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: September 8, 2016	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE