## 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 LEE LEE XIONG, 11 Case No.: 1:16-cy-00582 - JLT 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING A SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME 13 v. NANCY A. BERRYHILL Acting Commissioner of Social Security, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Lee Lee Xiong seeks judicial review of the decision to denying an application for Social 18 Security benefits, and filed an opening brief in the action on March 17, 2017. (Doc. 14) On April 21, 19 2017, the parties filed a stipulation for Defendant to have an extension of time to file a response to the 20 brief. (Doc. 16) 21 Pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order, Defendant was to file a response to the opening brief 22 within thirty days of the filing of the opening brief. (See Doc. 6 at 4) Accordingly, the response was 23 to be filed no later than April 17, 2017. (See id.) Beyond a single extension of time by stipulation of 24 the parties, "requests to modify [the scheduling] order must be made by written motion and will be 25 granted only for good cause." (Id.) Accordingly, the Court construes the stipulation of the parties to 26 27 <sup>1</sup> Nancy A. Berryhill is now the Acting Commissioner of Social Security. Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal

1

28

defendant.

Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court substitutes Nancy A. Berryhill for her predecessor, Carolyn W. Colvin, as the

be a motion for an extension of time. Here, Defendant seeks an extension of less than ten days to file the brief, asserting it is necessary "due to a heavy workload, including preparation for an oral argument." (Doc. 16 at 1) Plaintiff does not oppose Defendant's request for a brief extension of time. (*Id.* at 2) Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**: Defendant's request for an extension of time is **GRANTED**; and 1. 2. Defendant **SHALL** file a response to the opening brief no later than **April 26, 2017**. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **April 24, 2017**