1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIAM RATCLIFF,) Case No.: 1:16-cv-00584-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,))) ORDER EXTENDING DISCOVERY AND
13	v.) SCHEDULING ORDER TO DEFENDANT OGUN OMOLADE
14	J. AKANNO, et al.,) [ECF Nos. 26, 28]
15	Defendants.)
16		
17	Plaintiff William Ratcliff is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	This action is proceeding against Defendants J. Akanno, M. Spaeth, Ogun Omolade, A.	
20	Rangel, and A. Manasrah for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's health and safety in violation of the	
21	Eighth Amendment.	
22	On May 17, 2017, Defendants A. Manasrah, A. Rangel, and M. Spaeth filed an answer to the	
23	complaint. (ECF No. 25.)	
24	On May 18, 2017, the Court issued the discovery and scheduling order. (ECF No. 26.)	
25	On June 6, 2017, Defendant Ogun Omolade filed an answer to the complaint. (ECF No. 28.)	
26	Defendant A. Akanno waived service of the summons and complaint, but has not yet filed a	
27	respond to the complaint. (ECF No. 24.)	
28	///	
		1

Inasmuch as Defendant Ogun Omolade filed an answer to the complaint after the issuance of	
the discovery and scheduling order, the May 18, 2017, order is extended and made applicable	
Defendant Ogun Omolade and all provisions set forth therein remain in full force and effect.	
berendant Ogun Omolade and an provisions set forth therein remain in fun force and effect.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	Jung A. De
Dated:	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	2
	the discovery and scheduling order, the May