

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM RATCLIFF,
Plaintiff,
v.
J. AKANNO, et al.,
Defendants.

) Case No.: 1:16-cv-00584-SAB (PC)
)
) ORDER EXTENDING DISCOVERY AND
) SCHEDULING ORDER ISSUED MAY 18, 2017,
) TO DEFENDANT J. AKANNO
) [ECF Nos. 26, 44]
)
)

Plaintiff William Ratcliff is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

This action is proceeding against Defendants J. Akanno, M. Spaeth, Ogun Omolade, A. Rangel, and A. Manasrah for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's health and safety in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

On May 17, 2017, Defendants A. Manasrah, A. Rangel, and M. Spaeth filed an answer to the complaint. (ECF No. 25.)

On May 18, 2017, the Court issued the discovery and scheduling order. (ECF No. 26.)

On June 6, 2017, Defendant Ogun Omolade filed an answer to the complaint. (ECF No. 28.)

On June 9, 2017, the Court extended the May 18, 2017, discovery and scheduling order to Defendant Ogun Omolade. (ECF No. 29.)

On September 6, 2017, Defendant J. Akanno filed an answer to the complaint.

Inasmuch as Defendant Akanno filed an answer to the complaint after the issuance of the discovery and scheduling order, the May 18, 2017 order is extended and made applicable to Defendant Akanno and all provisions set forth therein remain in full force and effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 7, 2017



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE