1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
11	HENDRIK BLOCK,) No. 1:16-cv-00650-LJO-SKO
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
13	vs.	TIME FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT IN EXCESS
14	HAGOP VARTANIAN dba PUBLIC	OF 28 DAYS; ORDER
15	AUCTION R US, et al.,))
16	Defendants.))
17) .)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION FOR EXTE	ENSION OF TIME; ORDER

Page 1

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by	and between Plaintiff Hendrik Block and
2	Defendants Hagop Vartanian dba Public Aucti	ion R Us, Gary Christian, and Bonnie M.
3	Christian (collectively "Defendants"), by and three	ough their counsel of record, that pursuant to
4	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(a) and Local	l Rule 144(a), Defendants may have to and
5	including July 29, 2016 to file a responsive plea	ding in this matter. This extension of time is
6	Defendants' first extension and does not alter th	ne date of any event or any deadline already
7	fixed by Court order, but does exceed twenty-ei	ght (28) days. Good cause for this extension
8	exists as the parties are currently engaging in set	tlement discussions and wish to exhaust such
9	efforts before incurring the fees and costs associat	ed with the responsive pleadings.
10		
11	Date: June 21, 2016	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.
12		
13		/s/ Tanya E. Moore Tanya E. Moore
14		Attorney for Plaintiff, Hendrik Block
15		Helidik block
16		
17	Date: June 21, 2016	PURVIS & ELDER, LLP
18		/a/Miobael I Eldon
19		<u>/s/ Michael L. Elder</u> Michael L. Elder
20		Attorneys for Defendants, Hagop Vartanian dba Public Auction R Us,
21		Gary Christian, and Bonnie M. Christian
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

<u>ORDER</u>		
Plaintiff Hendrik Block ("Plaintiff") filed his complaint on May 9, 2016. (Doc. 1.)		
Defendant Gary Christian ("Mr. Christian") was personally served on May 17, 2016. (Doc. 4.)		
Mr. Christian's responsive pleading was therefore due twenty-one (21) days after service, or		
June 7, 2016. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)(i).		
The parties filed the above "Stipulation for Extension of Time for All Defendants to		
Respond to Complaint in Excess of 28 Days" on June 21, 2016, two weeks after Mr. Christian's		
responsive pleading deadline. 1 Although the Court may extend time to file a responsive		
pleading after the deadline has expired because of "excusable neglect," Fed. R. Civ. P.		
6(b)(1)(B), no such excusable neglect has been articulated – much less shown – here.		
Notwithstanding this deficiency, given the absence of bad faith or prejudice to Plaintiff (as		
evidenced by the parties' agreement to the extension of time), and in view of the liberal		
construction of Fed. R. Civ. 6(b)(1) to effectuate the general purpose of seeing that cases are		
tried on the merits, see Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc., 624 F.3d 1253, 1258-59 (9th Cir.		
2010), the Court GRANTS the parties' stipulated request. The parties are cautioned that future		
post hoc request for extensions of time will be viewed with disfavor.		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants Hagop Vartanian dba Public Auction R		
Us, Gary Christian, and Bonnie M. Christian shall have to and including July 29, 2016, within		
which to file a responsive pleading.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: June 23, 2016 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto		
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		

¹ The responsive pleading deadlines for the other defendants, Hagop Vartanian d/b/a Public Auction R Us and Bonnie M. Christian, have not yet expired. (See Docs. 5 and 6.)