

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHANNEL CENTENO, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
CITY OF FRESNO, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:16-cv-00653-DAD-SAB  
ORDER FOLLOWING IN CAMERA  
REVIEW

On December 29, 2016, an order issued granting in part and denying in part, Plaintiffs' motion to compel discovery in this action. In the order, Defendants were to lodge the performance reviews of Defendants Zebulon Price and Felipe Lucero for in camera review. On January 10, 2017, Defendants filed a notice of lodging of the documents for in camera review.

The Court has reviewed performance evaluations of Defendant Lucero for the periods of 1/12/15 to 1/11/16; 1/7/14 to 1/12/15; 1/6/13 to 1/13/14; 1/3/12 to 1/6/13; 1/3/11 to 1/3/12; 1/1/10 to 12/31/10; and 9/3/09 to 6/11/10. The Court also reviewed performance evaluations of Defendant Price for the periods of 1/12 16 to 1/7/17; 1/12/15 to 1/11/16; 1/7/14 to 1/12/15; 1/6/13 to 1/13/14; 1/3/11 to 1/1/12; 1/3/11 to 9/1/11; 1/4/10 to 8/1/10; and 1/4/09 to 1/3/10.

In the motion to compel, Plaintiffs argued that documents were sought to show that the defendants had a history of using excessive force or filing false police reports and there was no discipline or there was ratification of these activities. Plaintiff's also argued that documents

