## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RAY GIBSON, Case No. 1:16-cv-00677-DAD-BAM 12 ORDER TO FILE CONSENT OR DECLINE Plaintiff. FORM OR SHOW CAUSE WHY 13 v. SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER 14 HAGERTY INSURANCE AGENCY, et AND FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE THIS al.. **ACTION** 15 Defendants. (Doc. No. 54) 16 FOURTEEN-DAY DEADLINE 17 On July 25, 2017, the Court held an Initial Scheduling Conference in this action. At the 18 19 conference, the parties agreed to consent to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge 20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1). Accordingly, the Court ordered Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant 21 Ray Gibson and Cross-Defendant Judy Slack, both of whom are represented by counsel, to file 22 their consent forms by August 8, 2017. (Doc. No. 54.) To date, consent forms have not been 23 filed on behalf of Mr. Gibson or Ms. Slack, and the Court has been unable to issue the Scheduling Conference Order. 24 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that within fourteen (14) days from the date 25 26 of service of this order, Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant Ray Gibson and Cross-Defendant Judy Slack shall either: 27 28 (1) Complete and return an Order re Consent or Request for Reassignment; or

(2) Show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for the failure to comply with a court order and the failure to prosecute this action. The failure to respond to this order will result in the imposition sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: September 1, 2017