

1 CHAIN | COHN | STILES  
David K. Cohn, Esq. (SBN 68768)  
2 1731 Chester Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301  
3 E-Mail: dcohn@chainlaw.com  
4 Telephone: (661) 323-4000  
Facsimile: (661) 324-1352

5 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO  
6 Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074)  
21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310  
7 Woodland Hills, CA 91367  
8 E-Mail: dalegalipo@yahoo.com  
Telephone: (818) 347-3333  
9 Facsimile: (818) 347-4118

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

11  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14

15 GILBERTO FAJARDO,  
16 Plaintiff,  
17 v.  
18 CITY OF BAKERSFIELD; JUAN  
19 OROZCO; LINDY DEGEARE; and DOES  
20 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive,  
Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:16-CV-00699-JLT  
**STIPULATION TO MODIFY THE  
SCHEDULING ORDER (DKT. NO. 36);  
[PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  
[DOC. 36]**

21 **RECITALS**

- 22  
23 1. WHEREAS, on May 30, 2018 this Court issued its Scheduling Order [Dkt. No. 36].  
24 2. WHEREAS, on May 16, 2019, the Parties filed a Stipulation to Modify the  
25 Scheduling Order [Dkt. No. 39] to extend the time for expert disclosures and expert discovery  
26 cutoff and the Court issued an Order granting same on May 17, 2019 [Dkt. No. 40].  
27



1 Hearing on Dispositive Motions December 13, 2019 December 27, 2019

2 Pretrial December 16, 2019 January 6, 2020

3 DATED: September 16, 2019 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

4 /s/ Dale K. Galipo

5 BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
6 DALE K. GALIPO  
7 Attorney for Plaintiff

7 DATED: September 16, 2019 CHAIN | COHN | STILES

8 /s/ David K. Cohn

9 BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
10 DAVID K. COHN  
11 Attorney for Plaintiff

11 DATED: September 16, 2019 MARDEROSIAN & COHEN

12 /s/ Michael G. Marderosian

13 BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
14 MICHAEL G. MARDEROSIAN  
15 Attorney for Defendants

16 **ORDER**

17 The Court **GRANTS in PART** the stipulation to amend the case schedule. The Court  
18 notes there is no showing to explain the need to extend the non-dispositive motion deadlines. Also,  
19 counsel have provided insufficient time for the filing of and decision on dispositive motions and  
20 have not demonstrated that they believe that expert testimony is needed for dispositive motions.  
21 Finally, the Court is unavailable on January 6, 2020. Thus, the Court **ORDERS** the case schedule  
22 to be amended as follows:

- 23 1. The parties **SHALL** disclose experts **no later than October 2, 2019** and any  
24 rebuttal experts **no later than November 4, 2019**;
- 25 2. All discovery of experts **SHALL** be completed **no later than November 18, 2019**;
- 26 3. The pretrial conference is **CONTINUED** to **December 30, 2019** at 9:00 a.m.
- 27 4. In all other respects, the stipulation to amend the case schedule is **DENIED**.
- 28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Counsel are advised that the Court will not entertain any further requests to amend the case schedule absent a showing of extraordinary good cause which does not include any difficulty scheduling or timely completing discovery or filing motions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 17, 2019

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28