1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT FORBES,) Case No.: 1:16-cv-00707-BAM PC
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW
13	v.) CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE) DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
14	DR. PATEL, et al.,) AND FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER
15	Defendants.) FOURTEEN (14) DAY DEADLINE
16)
17))
18	Plaintiff Robert Forbes ("Plaintiff") is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
19	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff initiated this action on May	
20	20, 2016. Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge. (ECF No. 5.)	
21	On September 15, 2016, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to file an amended	
22	complaint or notify the Court that he is willing to proceed on the claims the Court found cognizable	
23	within thirty (30) days. (ECF No. 10.) On September 23, 2016, that order was returned by the United	
24	States Post Office as undeliverable, because Plaintiff was "discharged." Plaintiff has not provided the	
25	Court with his current address, responded to the Court's September 14, 2016 order, or otherwise	
26	communicated with the Court.	
27	Pursuant to Local Rules 182 and 183, a pro se party is under a continuing duty to notify the	
28	Clerk, the Court and all other parties of any change of address or telephone number. Local Rule	

1	182(f), 183(b). Additionally, Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of a party to comply with	
2	these [Local] Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any	
3	and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Further, the failure of Plaintiff to	
4	prosecute this action is grounds for dismissal. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability	
5	Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217 (9th Cir. 2006).	
6	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that, within fourteen (14) days from the date of	
7	service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed for	
8	failure to prosecute and failure to obey a court order. <u>The failure to respond to this order will result</u>	
9	in dismissal of this action.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: December 8, 2016 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe _	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	