1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
<u>6</u>			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARINO ANTONIO HERNANDEZ	Case No.: 1:16-cv-00716-MJS (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER FOR CLARIFICATION	
13	V.	(ECF No. 16)	
14	WINFRED M. KOKOR, et al.,	TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Marino Antonio Hernandez ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro		
18	se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff		
19	has consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (ECF No. 10.) No other parties have		
20	appeared.		
21	On September 1, 2016, the undersigned screened Plaintiff's complaint and found		
22	it stated cognizable Eighth Amendment medical indifference and state law negligence		
23	claims against Defendants Dr. Winfred Kokor and Nurse Stronach, but no other		
24	cognizable claims. (ECF No. 15.) Plaintiff was invited to proceed on the above claims		
25	alone or file an amended complaint curing deficiencies in the non-cognizable claims.		
26	Before the Court is Plaintiff's September 21, 2016 "Notice to Proceed, and		
27	Objections to Plaintiff's Screening Complaint." (ECF No. 16.) There Plaintiff states he is		
28	willing to proceed on the claims found to be cognizable, but he also states numerous		
1			

1 objections to the undersigned's screening Order. As a result, it is unclear to the Court 2 whether Plaintiff wants only to record his objections while proceeding on the claims 3 found cognizable, wants the Court to reconsider its dismissal of his non-cognizable 4 claims, or wants to amend his complaint.

5

7

16

Plaintiff's notice of willingness to proceed suggests his intent to go forward only <u>6</u> against Dr. Kokor and Nurse Stronach on the cognizable medical indifference and state tort claims. If that is his intent, no objections to the Court's screening will be addressed.

8 If Plaintiff wants the Court to consider his objections, he must file a motion for 9 reconsideration.

10 If Plaintiff wishes to amend his complaint, he must file an amended complaint 11 instead of the notice of intent to proceed.

12 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one days of this Order, 13 Plaintiff shall file a notice clarifying his intentions as to how he wants to proceed. If 14 Plaintiff fails to respond to this Order, his case may be dismissed for failure to comply 15 with a Court Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED. 17

18	Dated:	September 27, 2016	ls1 Michael J. Seng
19			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			2
	11		